



**OSHA Silica Rule (29 CFR 1926.1153)
Compliance Instructions**



Silica Rule Objective Test Data – Dual Dustless Wet+Dry/HEPA Wet+Dry Compliance with Table 1 Airflow Requirements:

-
-
-
-

-
- -



-
-
-

Dustless®



Vacuums Used for Airflow Comparison
1 each Dustless Technologies Dust Droid 300
(rated at 300 CFM)
258 CFM)



Ermator S26™ Dust Collector
(rated at

Dustless Technologies and this product are not affiliated, connected, associated with, sponsored, endorsed, or approved by Pullman Ermator. Ermator and Ermator S26 are a registered trademarks of Pullman Ermator Inc.



Measurements

Dual HEPA/Wet+Dry with 1 1/2-inch hose:
100 CFM



Dual HEPA/Wet+Dry with 2-inch hose:
120 CFM



Dust Droid 300: 138 CFM



Ermator S26: 119 CFM





From: osha_ecorrespondence@dol.gov
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2017 11:06 AM
To: Brent Willson
Subject: Answer From ASK OSHA

*** PLEASE DO NOT SELECT "REPLY" ***

THIS EMAIL HAS BEEN ROUTED TO YOU THROUGH AN AUTOMATED FEDERAL OSHA SYSTEM.
PLEASE REFER TO THE INFORMATION BELOW.

Disclaimer

Responses to the Electronic Mail Forms are for informational purposes only, and do not constitute an official communication of the U.S. Department of Labor or OSHA. For an official response, please submit your inquiry in writing.

Topic & Question

Topic: Other

Thank you for your response to my question (serial #44686302). Unfortunately, you did not answer the question I asked, but rather one I asked and received a response to almost a year ago. Again, my question involves whether under OSHA's Silica Rule, whether two 130 CFM vacuums connected with a y-connector could be used in place of a 260 CFM vacuum to meet the requirement in Table 1, items xi and xii for the dust collector to have 25 CFM per inch of grinding wheel diameter. As stated in previous queries, Ms. Patricia Downs had submitted this question for me to the Directorate for Enforcement Programs, but thus far we have not received a response. I have put together objective data showing that airflow at the end of the hose is equivalent with the two-vacuum setup and the 260 CFM vacuum. I can forward the documentation to you if needed. Thank you.

Submit Date: 30-AUG-17 08:35:24 AM

OSHA Response(s)

To answer your question, yes, two 130 cfm could be used. If the vacuums provide the required cfm, (i.e., 25 cfm per inch of wheel diameter), and have a cyclonic pre-separator or a filter cleaning mechanism, a vacuum dust collection system that uses two vacuums instead of one would comply with Table 1 for handheld grinders.

To answer your question, yes, two 130 cfm could be used. If the vacuums provide the required cfm, (i.e., 25 cfm per inch of wheel diameter), and have a cyclonic pre-separator or a filter cleaning mechanism, a vacuum dust collection system that uses two vacuums instead of one would comply with Table 1 for handheld grinders.